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Abstract
In Norway and other Nordic countries, there is a policy emphasis on using welfare technologies to support people to live at home. For example, Global Positioning Systems (GPS) or ‘location technologies’ are used to support people with dementia and their next of kin with wayfinding. However, the research evidence has not been synthesised, and so the opportunities and challenges presented when using GPS technologies are not clear. This synthesising review examined all available empirical evidence on the use of GPS technologies by people with dementia and their family carers, through a critical disability lens – that is, in terms of protecting a person’s right to live in the community and taking the standpoint of the person with dementia (rather than that of a caregiver or health professional). Employing this lens meant that we engaged with the literature in a more critical way than in standard reviews, and consciously looked for evidence of marginalisation. A search of six major English language databases in 2016 identified 23 studies that met the inclusion criteria. Synthesis of the findings led to the identification of three overarching themes: using GPS to stay safe, taking control and the value of GPS data. The review revealed a growing interest in the use of GPS technologies by people with dementia, which indicates that policy implementation is effective. Future work should take a disability-rights approach and focus on the value of using GPS technologies from the perspective of the person with dementia, as the opinions of this group are often overlooked in discussions about welfare technologies.
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Introduction
Dementia is a disability that affects a person’s ability to navigate. Early findings from the PREVENT Dementia Program – a prospective study of the adult children of persons with dementia – are that getting lost or losing your navigation skills may provide some of the initial indicators of Alzheimer’s disease (Ritchie, et al., 2017). It is estimated that 40% of people diagnosed with a dementia will get lost at some point, and 5% of these people will get lost
repeatedly, sometimes with fatal consequences (Carr et al., 2010). Often the police or search and rescue teams are called out to search for people with dementia who go missing, causing distress for the individuals and families concerned and a financial burden for the services involved (Cole, 2012). Some family carers lock the person indoors to avoid their possibility of going out and getting lost (Robinson et al., 2007); even though this in itself is potentially harmful to a person’s well-being or could have fatal consequences if there were a fire. For people with dementia who live on their own, a behaviour like getting lost may lead to institutionalisation (Banerjee et al., 2003). If the anxiety and risks associated with getting lost were preventable, a person with dementia could continue living a full life at home.

Over the last decade, in Norway, and other western countries, there has been a policy emphasis on using welfare technologies to support people with dementia at home. One such device is a Global Positioning System (GPS) or ‘location technology. GPS is a satellite based navigation system that can be used by anyone who needs to keep track of where he or she is, to find his or her way to a specified location, or know what direction and how fast he or she is going. With the exception of locations where it is not possible to obtain a signal, such as underground car parks, shopping malls, and railway tunnels, the system can locate a person wherever they are outside. While GPS is not a navigation aid, it can be used to locate the wearer, so individuals and families living with dementia are being offered devices which combine GPS with activity monitoring. Such devices include wristbands, bracelets and shoes equipped with GPS and other prototype technologies (such as ‘smart canes’) (McCoogan, 2017). Clearly, GPS technologies have a potential role in preventing harm and promoting well-being for people with dementia. However, the evidence has not been fully synthesised or discussed and so the role of location technologies in care is unclear.

GPS is a worldwide system that spans the globe. As such, there is a burgeoning investment and interest in using GPS technologies with people with dementia and their family carers amongst international companies and researchers. This is evidenced by the rising number of formal requests to develop and sell new GPS-enabled inventions (e.g. US2014090213-A1, 2016), and studies which seek the opinion of people with dementia themselves about how they should be designed (e.g. McCabe and Innes, 2013). However, current scientific knowledge regarding the use of GPS technologies by people with dementia and their family carers is limited and lacks the perspective of people with dementia themselves. Furthermore, the topic is typically discussed in a remedial way in terms of ‘a treatment for wandering’ rather than a care intervention (e.g. Kearns et al., 2007). Possibly this is because it has been introduced too late in the ‘dementia journey’ and is less effective anyway. Therefore, this synthesising review aims to examine all available evidence regarding the use of GPS technologies by people with dementia and their family carers, through a critical disability lens – that is, in terms of protecting a person’s right to live in the community, and taking the standpoint of the person with dementia (rather than a caregiver or health professional). Employing this lens meant that we engaged with the literature in a more critical way than standard reviews, and consciously looked for evidence of marginalisation. A defining feature of a critical disability approach is to examine power relations and external barriers to people getting the help they need, so this is what we did when analysing the studies. To our knowledge, no other studies have reviewed and analysed the evidence on the use of GPS by people with dementia in this way.

This article presents a systematic review of all the available evidence on using Global Positioning System technologies with people with dementia living at home. The range of factors encompassed in this review represents those deemed most significant and relevant for public officials and care workers, particularly those with a responsibility for promoting
disability rights. The use of GPS technologies by people with dementia and their next of kin can divide opinion among health care professionals, including physicians. For example, O’Neill (2013) sees it as an infringement of a person’s civil liberties, whereas McShane (2013) considers it an effective means of maintaining a persons’ safety. At the heart of the issue are tensions between concerns for autonomy, privacy, civil liberties, and human rights on the one hand, and concerns for welfare, safety, and carers on the other (Robinson et al., 2007). Other research confirms that attitudinal issues, ethical concerns, as well as a lack of training and information, are the main barriers to nurses and other care professionals providing and monitoring the use of GPS technology more routinely (Clark & Mcgee-Lennon, 2006). This research has led to the development of guidance for nurses and healthcare staff to ‘manage wandering behaviour’ (see for example, (Futrell and Melillo, 2014), and calls for more robust evidence on the effectiveness of using these technologies (Milne & McKinstry, 2012). The aim of this article is to provide a synthesis of existing evidence on using GPS technologies with people living with dementia, and to offer recommendations about future practice and research on this topic, in the context of a critical disability approach.

Methods

Search strategy

A Health Librarian at the University of Southampton worked with the researchers on the search strategies. The initial search strategy was tested for specificity using an EBSCO Medline search and selected references from the researchers. Once amended to include more keywords, the search was performed on the following six databases using the same keywords and amending the Subject Heading as appropriate. Medline, EMBASE, CINAHL, PsychINFO, Web of Science and Scopus. (Individual search strategies are in Appendix 1) The searching took place between the 29/04/2016 and the 15/6/2016. There were no language or publication status restrictions.

A list of references compiled by the researchers (RB, TB) over a period of time were also combined into the search; these had been gathered from a variety of resources. These references were de-duplicated against the key database searches. Additional searching took place using the Internet to find published material not included in academic databases. The following keywords were used “dementia, cognitive impair*, walk*, GPS, track*, wander*, “Global positioning”, Alzheimer* where only simple searches could be undertaken. This was undertaken between the 20/06/2016 and the 25/07/2016, and twenty-seven additional resources were identified (List of websites can be provided by contacting the corresponding author).
Study selection

Eligibility criteria
All study designs were suitable for inclusion. Empirical studies of people with dementia and/or their family carers using Global Positioning Technology were included. We excluded studies that only assessed electronic tracking or radio transmitter systems as these are completely different technologies from GPS-enabled devices. We also excluded studies that were not published in English and only available in abstract form.

Data extraction
Studies were eligible if they focused on the use of GPS by individuals and families affected by dementia living at home. Where studies examined multiple settings (such as care homes) only results specific to participants living at home were extracted. Two reviewers (RB, PB) independently selected studies, based on title and abstracts according to inclusion and exclusion criteria. Disagreements were resolved in discussion with a third reviewer (PT).
A data extraction table was created, which included study characteristics, aims and duration of the study, description of the device, population and data collection methods, outcome and main results; and distributed to each reviewer with a sample of identified papers to review. All papers included in this review were read to synthesise overarching themes.

Initially, 7230 research papers were found, plus 27 pieces not in research databases. Duplicate and irrelevant publications were removed, which left 54 potentially relevant publications. After reviewing the title and abstracts for relevance based on the inclusion criteria, the number of publications was reduced to 42. These papers were then read in their entirety and a further 19 were excluded. A total of 23 papers were deemed to meet the inclusion criteria and were retained for the final review.

Table 1 Data extraction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Aim of the study</th>
<th>Description of device</th>
<th>Population and data collection</th>
<th>Outcome, specification and main results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Chen et al. (2012)</td>
<td>To explore the actual needs of the elders in using the lost seeking devices and the problems they encountered</td>
<td>Various GPS/GSM trackers in conjunction with mobile phones (3 used computer as well to conduct searches)</td>
<td>37 family caregivers of people with dementia</td>
<td>Problems were poor information transmission, low user acceptance, individual material and secure anxiety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Dale (2010)</td>
<td>Explore perceived usability and usefulness of localization equipment</td>
<td>GPS receiver and mobile phone in same box, which attaches to person with belt clip.</td>
<td>n=7 caregivers (6 families)</td>
<td>Only simple single point in time location detection used (and repeated as necessary) All families would recommend the equipment to others Training of professional staff an issue, but ease of use not an issue for family members. Overall mean satisfaction with technology score = 4.33/5 Equipment perceived as stable and reliable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Faucounau, et al. (2009)</td>
<td>To explore needs and perceptions of ‘wandering persons’ and their caregivers towards tracking devices</td>
<td></td>
<td>84-year-old man with AD who is supported by his 68-year-old wife and a personal assistant.</td>
<td>Plan was to test for one month, but it only lasted one day. The dyad found the device ‘ugly’ and too big. Mr. B’s opinion prevailed because he privileged his wife’s choice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Hettinga et al. (2009)</td>
<td>To study effects of audio instructions and pedestrian safety while operating the device. Two days, three hours.</td>
<td>Audio instructions, modified TomTom 6SKD</td>
<td>4 participants with mild dementia</td>
<td>Walking was safe with navigation support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Aim of the study</td>
<td>Description of device</td>
<td>Population and data collection</td>
<td>Outcome, specification and main results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Hughes, J. C., et al. (2008).</td>
<td>Ethical issues surrounding the use of electronic tagging for people who wander. Participants were asked to comment on most and least appropriate responses to wandering</td>
<td>Any Survey of 143 carers (29) people attending a memory remediation group (3) doctors (48) social workers (4) CPNs (20) OT (5)</td>
<td>Most appropriate response – electronic tagging 65% Watching the person 21% and locking doors least appropriate 14% Most agreed with being tagged themselves. Almost all opposed to tagging were women professionals 41–60 yrs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Isaacson, M., et al. (2016).</td>
<td>To find a better research tool than the time/space diary. Research question – To what degree are older adults able to fulfill the requirements of a GPS assisted study? 28-day tracking</td>
<td>Combines the use of a GPS receiver with Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) technology to assess data validity 89 older adults tracked for 28 days. Two groups – 39 healthy older adults and 53 with cognitive decline. Implemented in research on time-space activities of elderly persons with cognitive impairment. The RFID could identify if the participant left their home without the GPS device. Both groups were compliant and valid participants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Kearns, W. D., et al. (2007)</td>
<td>To obtain opinions on the potential effectiveness of existing technologies for managing wandering in people with dementia in the community and the elements those technologies should have from users’ perspectives</td>
<td>Elopement management systems to alert caregivers when the person leaves an area. Radio frequency or GPS trackers Focus groups (6) 7 elderly nursing home residents, 7 carers, 9 home health care staff, 7 long term care staff, 7 medical surgical staff, 6 engineers working in rehabilitation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Landau, et al. (2009).</td>
<td>To examine the attitudes of family and professional caregivers towards the use of GPS and RFID</td>
<td>Family caregivers (69) and professional caregivers (96) social workers, OTs and managers. 76% female and 24% male. Used questionnaire x 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Landau, et al. (2010)</td>
<td>To examine the ethical aspects of the use of GPS to track people with dementia</td>
<td>GPS technologies generally Based on data gathered from four focus groups: two groups of professionals (=32) and the family caregivers residing in community n-36.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Landau, et al. (2011)</td>
<td>To examine who should decide about the use of GPS</td>
<td>Survey questions were based on a 1) Large cellular phone carried by pwd and (2) special water-resistant watch Survey respondents (n=296) comprising 5 groups: cognitively intact elderly (44); family caregivers of pwd (94); social workers (51); other professionals (59). Result from entire sample: Most appropriate person to make decision was the (1) spouse, (2) the most involved family member, and (3) pwd themselves. Family carers rated the importance of pwd as decision makers significantly lower than social workers and other professionals.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Aim of the study</td>
<td>Description of device</td>
<td>Population and data collection</td>
<td>Outcome, specification and main results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Lin, et al. (2015)</td>
<td>Development of system that detects outliers from users’ typical GPS trajectories indicators of dementia patients wandering.</td>
<td>New algorithm: iBDD (Isolation-based disorientation detection method)</td>
<td>n=10 GPS traces from open data set (Microsoft Research Asia) with wandering data artificially added.</td>
<td>Dependent on parameters, but overall a 95% detection rate of disorientation with &lt;3% false positives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Milne, H., et al. (2014)</td>
<td>This observational study explored the feasibility of recruiting and retaining participants, and the acceptability of outcome measures, to inform decisions about the feasibility of a randomised controlled trial (RCT). Participants were in the study for max 7 months</td>
<td>GPS – various including buddi, skyguard.</td>
<td>12 people used the GPS and participated. Interviews with people with dementia, caregivers and professionals to explore the perceived utility and challenges of GPS location, and assessed quality of life (QoL) and mental health. Piloted three methods of calculating resource use: caregiver diary; bi-monthly telephone questionnaire and interrogation of health and social care records. Caregivers estimated the time spent searching if participants became lost before and whilst using GPS.</td>
<td>Time spent searching (if this could be accurately captured) and days until long-term admission are potentially suitable outcome measures for RCT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Oderud et al. (2015)</td>
<td>To generate knowledge on the use of GPS to support autonomy and independence for persons with dementia</td>
<td>GPS technology applications based on individual needs</td>
<td>208 persons with dementia living at home or in nursing home, and their family caregivers and professional care givers</td>
<td>Time of use varied from one week to more than 2 years. The use increased safety and autonomy in outdoor activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Olsson et al. (2015)</td>
<td>To study the effects of using tracking technology on independent outdoor activities and psychological wellbeing</td>
<td>Passive position alarm: transmitter (GPS), a cell phone, manuals for the transmitter, pedestrian area with a radius 500 m (access to support person)</td>
<td>N=3 persons with dementia and their spouses</td>
<td>Access to passive positioning alarm increased the independent outdoor activities for people with dementia. For 2 spouses it decreased the levels of worry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Oswald, et al. (2010)</td>
<td>3-year study to learn more about the out-of-home mobility by GPS to analyse relationship between cognitive functioning, mobility behavior, wellbeing to examine ethical implications of use of advanced tracking technologies in this population and to assess the potential of tracking technologies on various types of cognitive impairment</td>
<td>GPS</td>
<td>19 men and women 63–80 years old (7 healthy, 6 MCI and 6 ‘demented’)</td>
<td>Preliminary results of study. Psychosocial data reported differences in wellbeing of healthy participants vs people with MCI or dementia who were in less wellbeing. The main finding was that the research approach works and will continue to be used for the full study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Pot, Willemse, Horjus (2012)</td>
<td>Pilot study Three-month use of GPS Feasibility, acceptability and effectiveness of GPS use.</td>
<td>GPS</td>
<td>33 dyad care-receivers and caregivers, 28 remained in the study.</td>
<td>Most caregivers would recommend GPS Half of the pwd experienced more freedom &amp; were less worried outdoors. A quarter were outdoors more frequently, a fifth had less conflicts with their caregiver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Aim of the study</td>
<td>Description of device</td>
<td>Population and data collection</td>
<td>Outcome, specification and main results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Rasquin, et al. (2007)</td>
<td>(1) To identify solutions to problems of getting lost (2) to determine optimum functional specifications (3) to assess effectiveness of one form of technical support</td>
<td>Carephone of Carecops. Like a mobile phone but only has 3 buttons. Based on GSM and GPS. Includes a service centre.</td>
<td>Telephone interviews with 25 participants (15 caregivers &amp; 10 professionals from different health care institutions). One couple tested the device in a field experiment. Pwd carried in pocket.</td>
<td>A simple mobile phone with GPS is a possible solution. Caregivers also said permanent chip Pwd found 3 buttons too complex. Emphasise importance of detailed assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Thygesen, et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Drawn from two studies EFORTT Safe Tracks</td>
<td>EFORTT case study family carers interviewed (n=?) Safe Tracks 55 people with dementia and family carers Focus groups Interviews Questionnaires</td>
<td>Focus on challenges to family carers’ skills and competence to make GPS work as intended. Includes helping the person with dementia wear the equipment, that it is charged and working correctly, to access a computer (may not be used to doing this)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Wan, et al. (2014)</td>
<td>User-centred development of GPS-based monitoring system. 3 to 5-month test.</td>
<td>GPS tracker, smartphone app and web portal</td>
<td>Late-stage dementia. Domestic and institutional setting. 21 semi-structured interviews (6 family, 15 professional caregivers). 20 hours observation. None used GPS based systems. 3 real-world test settings. 12 onsite visits, 6 telephone interviews</td>
<td>Three categories of wandering prevention measures: 1) constructional, 2) technical support, 3) human intervention. Managers believe caregivers will be unable to use technology (self-fulfilling prophecy?). Training of staff is an issue. Size of device (small matchbox size) an issue Although GPS is not accurate indoors, it helps to know if users are ‘not outdoors’ Heterogeneity of caregiving contexts means solutions must be flexible and creative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Wettstein, et al. (2015)</td>
<td>Explores differences in out of home behaviours of community dwelling older adults with different cognitive impairment</td>
<td>GPS used to gather data</td>
<td>257 older adults including 35 people with Alzheimer’s disease</td>
<td>Older adults with AD engage in less out of home behaviour of high and medium complexity as compared to MCI or CH. Specifically older people with AD seem to visit fewer places in their environment, possibly avoiding complex and cognitively demanding locations and preferring familiar, less demanding places</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. White and Montgomery (2014)</td>
<td>Explored values, beliefs, and contextual factors that motivated carers to use electronic tagging</td>
<td>GPS</td>
<td>Interviews with 10 carers</td>
<td>Used GPS to protect the safety of the person with dementia. Promotes independence. Consent implied by willingness to carry device. Families wanted help with proxy decision making from professionals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. White, et al. (2010).</td>
<td>To elicit a description of GPS tracking use in the care of people with dementia in domestic settings</td>
<td>GPS</td>
<td>10 familial carers interviews</td>
<td>Carers were safety focused. Tracking was used as a back up to usual supervision, and for a minority was used to continue independence for people with dementia to go out alone.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Findings

Methods, participants and technologies

The earliest studies on the use of GPS technologies with people with dementia were reported in 2007, with the most recent in 2016. Four papers pertained to the same study, which was a three-year Senior-Tracking (SenTra) bi-national study between Germany and Israel, completed in 2011. The largest study, conducted in Norway, recruited 208 persons living with dementia, all of whom were supplied with a GPS device (Oderud). The smallest study reported on one dyad case – a man with dementia and his wife (Faucounau et al., 2009). Of the 23 papers, fourteen involved people with dementia as research participants.

Eight of the studies involving people with dementia as research participants were pilot or exploratory studies designed to assess the feasibility of using a particular GPS system with people with dementia. Three reported on single-case studies, one was an interview study, and two used mixed methods combining field experiments and interviews. The large Norwegian study monitored peoples’ usage of GPS for two years between 2012 and 2014 using interview and observational techniques. All studies included people with mild to moderate dementia. One research study had planned to interview people with dementia but considered it inappropriate due to the severity of cognitive impairment (Dale, 2010).

Nine papers involved family and/or professional carers only. Four of them were interview studies, two used mixed methods and three were surveys.

Some studies included results pertaining to other technologies (such as radio frequency transmitters and assistive technologies more generally) or other groups (such as engineers). These results were not synthesised and are therefore not reported in this review.

Data were synthesised across common themes found, which were:

1. Using GPS to prevent harm and promote wellbeing
2. Taking control
3. Value of GPS data

Using GPS to prevent harm and promote wellbeing

Most of the articles reviewed found that using GPS technologies prevented harm and promoted wellbeing (Milne et al., 2014) Wan, Landau, Olsson). Thygesen, H; Moser, I; Oderud, 2013). These were intervention studies, which had ‘safer walking’ – defined as walking independently by managing perceived risks (Milne et al., 2014) – and wellbeing for the person with dementia and/or their spouse as outcome measures (Hettinga, Boer, Goldberg, & Moelaert, 2009); Olsson, Engström, Asenlöf, Skovdahl, & Lampic, 2014); Pot, Willemse, & Horjus, 2012; Rasquin, Williams, deVlieger, Geers, & Soede, 2007). Evidence suggests that physical harm is prevented because a person can be found more quickly when they are wearing a GPS device than when they are not wearing anything (Milne et al., 2014). One study compared a radio transmitter with GPS system and found the latter to be more reliable in providing a position as soon as it was requested (Bulat et al., 2016). Additionally, the audio function can be used to warn the person about something and thus prevent an unsafe situation (Hettinga et al., 2009; Rasquin et al., 2007).

Safety is a critical concern for people with dementia, particularly in outdoor environments (Lin et al., 2015). There is strong evidence from people with dementia, as well as carers, that staying safe is considered more important than protecting autonomy (White & Montgomery, 2014) or privacy (Oderud, et al. 2015: 5). A clear example of how using GPS can save a person’s life was found in the grey literature, in a report on a two-year trial to test
a GPS tracking device, and involving twenty patients from the Thames Valley and Somerset areas in the UK. One of the participants said:

“Our home is surrounded by fields so before we used the tracker it was very difficult to find where Peter had gone if he was late coming home. But using the tracker I found it gave very accurate results and told me exactly where he was and even which way he was heading!”

The idea that GPS use can provide ‘protection of life’ is highlighted in the study conducted in Israel (Landau, Auslander, Werner, Shoval, & Heinik, 2010a: 413). However, a person needs to be wearing a device for it to ensure their safety; a point that is raised in these and other studies.

One paper focused on compliance rates in GPS studies, and concluded, based on a sample of 39 healthy older adults, and 39 older adults in cognitive decline, that both groups are generally compliant when it comes to using GPS devices (Isaacson, Shoval, Wahl, Oswald, & Auslander, 2016). However, some functions (such as warning sounds) are considered unhelpful by participants (Hettinga, et al., 2009); in which case someone is likely to take the device off, or not want to wear it, which is what happened in a study where participants were not meant to take a watch off at night but they did (Isaacson et al., 2016). The physicality of a GPS device can be perceived negatively too; so poor design can cause harm. For example, in one study the plan was to test the GPS device with a married couple for one month but it only lasted one day because the couple found the device too big and ‘ugly’ (Faucounau et al., 2009). In another study, carers said the weight of the device was a problem (Chen & Leung, 2012). A GPS is not therefore a completely effective option for staying safe. From a critical disability perspective, it is noteworthy that none of these studies referred to a person’s right to access public spaces. However, some attention was paid to how people with dementia feel about using GPS technologies.

Recent studies have begun to highlight how the use of GPS promotes the psychological wellbeing of people with dementia. Knowing you can be located means a person can go out without worrying as much. In one small-scale experimental study involving three Swedish men with dementia, it was found that the use of GPS technologies led to an ‘increased frequency of independent outdoor activities’ in all three cases (Olsson, 2015). Another pilot study conducted in the Netherlands found that people with dementia experienced a greater ‘sense of freedom’ when using GPS (Pot, Willemse, & Horjus, 2012: 131). According to the Norwegian study, families may need to consult with professionals about how to use GPS in the ‘least intrusive’ way, otherwise there is a risk of damaging rather than promoting psychological wellbeing (Oderud et al., 2015: 6).

Taking Control

From a critical disability perspective, ‘independence is not about doing everything for oneself but about having control over how help is provided’ (Morris, 2001: 17). The question about who should take control and make the decision about using GPS technologies is emphasised in several papers. One survey study involving 296 respondents found that the spouse of the person with dementia was considered to be the most appropriate person to decide (Landau, Auslander, Werner, Shoval, & Heinik, 2011). Elsewhere, the same researchers suggested that GPS technologies were favoured because they afforded caregivers a high degree of control over the person with dementia (Landau, Auslander, Werner, Shoval, & Heinik, 2010b). How a carer might assert control was highlighted in another case study, which described a son hiding the GPS device in his mother’s handbag (White & Montgomery,
2014). In addition, in the single dyad case study, it was reported that the person with dementia was happy to relinquish control to his carer (wife) who assumed the authority to decide about using GPS (Faucounau et al., 2009). These data suggest that GPS technologies afford control to carers (rather than the person with dementia), which raises questions about whether the opinions and rights of people with dementia are recognised enough.

In common with people with other disabilities, people with dementia need help and support to use technologies. Such assistance should be reliable and competent and grounded in respect for the disabled person (Morris, 2001). In this review, we found that the involvement of a health professional was regarded as essential (Hughes, Newby, Louw, Campbell and Hutton, 2008). However, in another study, researchers found that clinicians are reluctant to intervene and recommend GPS technologies as it can sound like monitoring (Landau, et al., 2000). In other work, researchers have found that family carers play an important role in relation to implementation of GPS (Thygesen, Moser and Oderud, 2013) and value being able to consult with other family members when making a decision (Milne & McKinstry, 2012), or with healthcare professionals (White & Montgomery, 2014). Clearly, assistance is not reliable, and this shows why a focus on disability rights and self-advocacy is so important in the context of persons with dementia (Shakespeare, Zeilig and Mittler, 2017).

A critical disability approach means looking at the barriers to people receiving the assistance they need. Based on this review, it would seem that access to GPS technologies is not easy or universal. For example, in a study conducted in Norway, it was highlighted that there is no single service provider and so ‘it is somewhat arbitrary what sort of equipment is obtained’ (Dale, 2010: 304). Similarly, a study conducted in Scotland found that only 20 devices were offered to clients over an eight month period, when social care staff expected the number to be more in the region of 50 given the number of people on the caseload (Milne & McKinstry, 2012). Although no studies examined the provision of GPS devices by local authorities, one paper highlighted how the ‘mixed economy’ landscape means that formal service provision does vary and is supplemented by ‘off the shelf’ technologies (such as GPS-enabled key fobs and smartphones) (Gibson, Dickinson, Brittain, & Robinson, 2015:1). These findings suggest that there are economic and attitudinal barriers to people with dementia accessing GPS technologies.

Value of GPS data

Digital information is potentially useful in a disability rights sense, as it can provide different people with valuable and reliable information. This is especially important in the context of dementia, as due to the nature of the impairment, people with this disability are often not seen as reliable informants (Bartlett, Balmer and Brannelly, 2016). Five papers reported on how data provided through GPS technologies can provide valuable real-time, accurate information about a person’s spatial behaviour and walking trips (Isaacson et al., 2016; Shoval et al., 2010; Lin et al., 2015). These papers focused on the use of GPS to investigate out-of-home behaviour by people with dementia. In one of the studies, computer scientists in China used the GPS trajectories of ten people with dementia to develop an ‘isolation-based disorientation detection model’ (iBDD) (Lin, et al., 2015). The iBDD is an algorithmic model, which can determine with 95% accuracy whether or not someone’s walking trajectory is normal for that person or a possible instance of disorientation or ‘wandering’. Wandering was defined in this study as ‘traveling to semantic places or along old routes with a different sequence inside the historical traces’ (Lin, et al., 2015: 74) – so whenever a person makes a lot of direction changes, which they have never made before, and visits a place, which they have never visited before. Such information pinpoints the moment
when someone is technically ‘wandering’ and at risk of getting lost. This is potentially valuable data for not only researchers but also people with dementia themselves, as well as health care professionals.

The four papers from the SenTra study focused upon the value of using GPS technologies as a research tool to collect objective geographic data on the outdoor mobility of people with dementia (Isaacson, et al., 2016; Oswald et al., 2010; Shoval, et al., 2010; Wettstein et al., 2012). The SenTra study recruited 35 people with Alzheimer’s disease, 76 with Mild Cognitive Impairment and 146 healthy adults. All participants were issued with a GPS tracking kit and instructions concerning its use, which they used for four weeks. The conclusion drawn in all these papers is that using GPS technologies with people with dementia can provide researchers with high quality time-space data, that is much more objective than verbal reports from family members or health care staff. From a critical disability perspective, such data could assist people with dementia to self-advocate, as they would have reliable knowledge about their lives.

Discussion

This review of 23 papers was undertaken to identify gaps in current understanding about the use of GPS technologies by people with dementia living at home. With increasing numbers of people with dementia living at home and increasing acceptance and usage of digital devices, the use of GPS technologies (amongst others) is likely to expand rapidly. Overall, evidence is strongest about the use of GPS technologies for averting harm and promoting wellbeing. It has been the first systematic review to analyse the use of GPS by people with dementia through a critical disability lens. Hence, the perspective of people with dementia has been centralised and disability rights and self-advocacy have been highlighted. Health and social care professionals are in a position to recommend and support the use of GPS technologies with individuals and families affected by dementia. However, the current review found no evidence that GPS technologies were conceptualised as, or provided to, protect a person’s right to live in the community.

Taking the perspective of people with dementia

The review has highlighted how the perspective of people with dementia is not routinely taken, and when it is taken, it is not contextualised within broader barriers. Many of the studies reviewed prioritised the feelings and opinions of either family carers or health professionals, instead of people with dementia themselves. The same bias was found in a previous review of the literature on use of assistive technologies (Topo, 2009). This is problematic; as it means our understanding of GPS use is informed by those without dementia. Evidence is still weak about the value of using GPS technologies from the perspective of people with dementia. This presents challenges for those in the industry who are manufacturing devices, as while caregivers may found devices simple to use, a person with dementia may not (Gibson et al., 2015). The next tranche of work on this topic should take the perspective of people with dementia themselves.

Lack of definitive evidence

This review only found non-trial evidence. There is a lack of large-scale intervention studies. The suggestion to evaluate the use of GPS technologies using a randomised experiment has already been made (Milne and McKinstry, 2012). Unless and until trial evidence is available, there is unlikely to be wholesale prescription of GPS technologies by clinicians or...
service providers. However, people are increasingly using ‘off the shelf’ technologies (Gibson et al., 2016), as the market is awash with GPS-enabled location devices and not everyone with dementia will need or want a full scale GPS-enabled care system that involves call centre support. As other researchers have pointed out, ‘the heterogeneity of caregiving contexts means solutions must be flexible and creative’ (Wan, Müller, Wulf, & Randall, 2014). Therefore, any future large-scale studies need to take account of the range of GPS technologies that are available and the informal arrangements that individuals and families may already have in place.

Potential value of GPS data to improve care practice
The review has highlighted the potential value of using GPS data to improve care practice and assist people with dementia to take control of their lives. We have found evidence that GPS data is valuable for researchers, but the accuracy of information provided by GPS systems (such as walking speed and distances) could be useful for care providers as well. For example, we know that the mobility and nutrition needs of people with dementia often go unmet in the community (Eichler et al., 2016); possibly because care providers lack the information they need (about mobility and energy levels) to determine what a person’s needs might be. GPS data could be used to provide this.

Limitations of the studies reviewed
A few limitations should be noted. First, due to the exclusion criteria, some relevant information may have been missed. Second, the studies identified varied greatly in scope and quality. Most of the studies were small-scale and some provided very little information about the system being trialled.

Conclusion
Our systematic review revealed a growing interest in the use of GPS technologies by people with dementia. Earlier studies focused on the ethics of using such technologies to reduce ‘wandering’. However, we found no evidence to suggest that the rationale for using GPS technologies has ever simply been about monitoring the whereabouts of a person with dementia. More recent studies – those published from 2014 onwards – focused on the use of GPS technologies as a practical intervention for supporting people with dementia to live at home. People with dementia have a right to live in the community, and there is a proliferation of GPS-enabled technologies (such as Smartphones and watches), indicating a possible need for more research into how people with dementia and their families are using and integrating GPS-enabled technologies into their everyday lives. Future work should take an enabling approach and focus on the value of using GPS technologies from the perspective of the person with dementia. Moreover, attention should be paid to the relational aspects of upholding rights and promoting self-advocacy, as dementia is a progressive disability that affects cognition. As such, the power dynamics between family members, health professionals and people with dementia are important to understand during the inclusion process. Finally, the lack of definitive evidence that GPS technologies saves lives presents a challenge to clinicians and service providers, looking for guidance on service rationing and commissioning.
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