

## Editorial

The new editors of the NJHR are pleased to announce two major changes to our Journal.

Firstly, as you may already have noticed, the Journal's layout has completely changed. We wanted a more contemporary and distinct look to reflect our vision, and we think our publisher's designer has done a remarkable job.

Secondly, and more importantly, new members have been appointed to the Editorial Board and Editorial Committee. The new members are all top-level researchers or practitioners in the field of human rights. The editors are confident that our new team will offer fresh perspectives and contribute to ensuring that NJHR retains its reputation as one of the very best journals in its field. We thank them all for having graciously accepted our invitation.

The Journal's aim remains the same: to provide a cutting-edge forum for international academic critique and analysis in the field of human rights. Fostering academic dialogue in this field is more important than ever. Human rights violations show no sign of decline, and the international community has become increasingly aware of this. NJHR will stimulate the public debate on human rights by providing a place where a variety of perspectives on the theory and practice of human rights can be brought to the fore.

The Journal takes a broad view of human rights. The research on human rights should not be allowed to become a ghetto of international law. We therefore welcome contributions not only from lawyers, but also from researchers and practitioners belonging to the realms of social science, sociology, philosophy, history, and other fields where issues of human rights are debated. NJHR thus wishes to publish high quality and cross-disciplinary analyses and comments on the past, current and future status of human rights for profound collective reflection.

Some of our issues will be entirely devoted to topics that we believe will be of particular interest to our readers. The next issue will thus be devoted to questions of land restitution in transitional justice.

Readers are invited to suggest topics or propose contributions, or to forward us their critical reactions to what they find in these pages. The journal applies an impeccable double-blind peer-review process with two referees appointed to all papers.

Bård A. Andreassen and Jo Stigen